

April 14, 2017

Member of Congress U.S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

RE: Oppose Rep. John Delaney's "Infrastructure 2.0 Act" (H.R.1670)

Dear Representative,

We write to urge you to oppose the Infrastructure 2.0 Act (H.R.1670), which was recently re-introduced by Rep. John Delaney (D-MD). The bill seeks to, but falls short of, addressing the problem of multinational companies engaging in aggressive tax avoidance by offshoring profits — instead, creating a system of multiple tax rates vulnerable to manipulation by multinationals and setting up another repatriation holiday reinforcing incentives for continued offshoring.

The FACT Coalition is a non-partisan alliance of more than 100 state, national, and international organizations working toward a fair tax system that addresses the challenges of a global economy and promoting policies to combat the harmful impacts of corrupt financial practices.¹

Under Rep. Delaney's proposal, multinational corporations would still:

- have extraordinary tax advantages over wholly domestic and small businesses;
- have significant incentives to offshore profits in tax havens; and
- enjoy an outrageous tax holiday after assurances were made in 2004 that such an extraordinary corporate tax break would be a one-time only benefit.

First, the bill would allow multinational companies to repatriate their existing offshore profits at *a tax rate of 8.75 percent — lower than even the 10 percent rate proposed by President Trump*. That means profitable U.S. corporations subject to the statutory tax rate of 35 percent would get a 75 percent reduction in the tax rate applicable to their foreign earnings — a massive tax break unavailable to any domestic U.S. company or individual U.S. taxpayer.

Providing another tax holiday for multinational corporations dismisses history. In 2004, Congress approved a massive corporate tax holiday. The bill supposedly was designed to ensure that the repatriated funds were used to expand operations and hire workers. But none of that happened. Studies found that no new investment or jobs were created. Instead, the bulk of the funds was used to repurchase company stock. The stock buybacks raised share prices, allowing corporate executives to

¹ For a full list of FACT members, see: https://thefactcoalition.org/about/coalition-members-and-supporters/

exercise their stock options and personally profit. Even more troublesome, and contrary to promises made, many of the same companies had net job losses over the following two years.²

Given how the proposal is structured, there is little reason to assume different behavior or outcomes this time. Multinational corporations that booked profits in tax havens with no corporate income tax would only pay U.S. taxes on 25 percent of those profits (there is a 75 percent exemption on taxable profits in the bill). That works out to an effective tax rate of 8.75%, as mentioned above — *less than the rate proposed by President Trump and even less than the statutory rate for a person making minimum wage.*

Second, the bill would also set a deadline for Congress to act on corporate tax reform, and, if that deadline is not met, a complicated set of new tax rules would automatically go into effect. The new rules would impose varying tax rates on corporate foreign earnings based on numerous factors. But the end result would be that U.S. corporations would still pay much lower tax rates on their foreign earnings compared to wholly domestic companies and small businesses. The new rules would not end tax incentives to offshore profits, they would simply modify and extend them.

For example, under the new rules, multinationals that set up shell companies in tax havens with no corporate income tax would be required to pay just 12.25 percent on what they chose to classify as active foreign income to the U.S. That rate of 12.25 percent is well below any tax rate being proposed for domestic U.S. corporations. For all practical purposes, not a single multinational company would have to pay the tax rate legally required of small businesses and wholly-owned domestic companies.

It is misguided and ill-advised to reward multinational companies — those that shift their U.S. profits offshore — at the expense of companies that are fully committed to America.

In short, the Delaney bill would replace one loophole-ridden system of corporate taxation with another, perpetuating the gaming of the tax code that enables profitable multinational companies to play by their own set of privileged rules. Worse yet, it fails to set up any effective backstop to prevent corporations from moving operations, jobs, and profits offshore.

A recent report by the U.S. PIRG Education Fund found that, under the current system:

[Taxpayers lose] approximately \$147 billion in federal and state revenue each year due to corporations using tax havens to dodge taxes ... Every small business would need to pay an additional \$4,481 in federal taxes to account for the revenue lost ... [and] pay on average an additional \$647 to make up for the lost state taxes ... Because state corporate tax rates vary considerably, small businesses in some states would have to pay as much as \$2,520 to make up for state tax revenue lost to tax haven abuse.³

FACTCOALITION

² Galston, William A. "*Can Tax Reform Benefit Anyone Beyond the C Suite*?" Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution, January 2017 (accessible at http://brook.gs/2jbsJPO).

³ Robins, Alexandria; and Michelle Surka. "*Picking Up the Tab 2016: Small Businesses Bear the Burden for Offshore Tax Havens.*" Washington, DC: U.S. PIRG Education Fund, November 2016 (accessible at http://uspirg.org/reports/usp/picking-tab-2016).

The proposal would establish permanent benefits for offshoring profits, undercutting tax revenue for infrastructure and other needs into the future.

There is a right way to reform the corporate tax system. Congress should end deferral of taxes on profits booked offshore. The statutory tax rate should be the same regardless of where you choose to book your profits — no favoring multinationals over small businesses. Companies should not have free reign to strip earnings from their U.S. operations and move them to offshore havens. And we must ensure multinationals play by the rules, by requiring that they publicly report, on a country-by-country basis, where they earn their profits and pay their taxes.

The Infrastructure 2.0 Act takes us in the wrong direction. We urge you to oppose H.R.1670 and work to enact reforms that are fair to small business, domestic companies, and multinationals alike.

Thank you for your consideration. For more information, please contact Clark Gascoigne at cgascoigne@thefactcoalition.org.

Sincerely,

Gary Kalman Executive Director The FACT Coalition

Ch Shing

Clark Gascoigne Deputy Director The FACT Coalition

FACTCOALITION



Coalition Members and Supporters

Organizational Members

National/International

ActionAid USA Americans for Democratic Action American Federation of Labor - Congress of Industrial **Organizations (AFL-CIO)** American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME) American Sustainable Business Council Campaign for America's Future Center for Economic and Social Rights (CESR) Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington (CREW) **Citizens for Tax Justice** EarthRights International **EG** Justice **Enough Project** Fair Share Fair Share Education Fund **Financial Transparency Coalition** Friends of the Earth U.S. **Global Financial Integrity Global Witness Government Accountability Project** Institute for Policy Studies - Program on Inequality and the Common Good Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy JPIC Ministry – Missionary Oblates Jubilee USA Network Leadership Conference of Women Religious The Main Street Alliance National Priorities Project New Rules for Global Finance OpenTheGovernment.org **Oxfam America** Pacific Environment Polaris Project On Government Oversight (POGO) **Public Citizen** Sargent Shriver National Center on Poverty Law Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Small Business Majority Tax Justice Network USA U.S. Public Interest Research Group (PIRG) U.S. Public Interest Research Group (PIRG) Education Fund U.S. UNCUT

State/Local

Arizona Arizona Fair Share Arizona Public Interest Research Group

California

California Fair Share California/Venezuela Region – Religious Sisters of Charity California Public Interest Research Group Jubilee Bay Area Main Street Alliance of California

Colorado Colorado Fair Share Colorado Main Street Alliance Colorado Public Interest Research Group

Connecticut Connecticut Fair Share Connecticut Public Interest Research Group

Delaware Delaware Americans for Democratic Action (Delaware ADA)

Florida Florida Fair Share Florida Public Interest Research Group Main Street Alliance of Florida

Georgia Georgia Fair Share Georgia Public Interest Research Group

Idaho Idaho Main Street Alliance

Illinois Citizen Action / Illinois Illinois Fair Share Illinois Public Interest Research Group

Iowa Iowa Citizen Action Network Iowa Citizens for Community Improvement Iowa Fair Share Iowa Farmers Union Iowa Main Street Alliance Move to Amend – Iowa Chapter

Kentucky Kentucky Fair Share

Minnesota Main Street Alliance of Minnesota Minnesota Fair Share

Maine Maine Small Business Coalition

Maryland Maryland Fair Share Maryland Public Interest Research Group

Massachusetts Massachusetts Fair Share Massachusetts Public Interest Research Group

Michigan Michigan Fair Share Michigan Public Interest Research Group

Missouri Missouri Public Interest Research Group

Montana Montana Fair Share Montana Small Business Alliance

Nevada Nevada Fair Share

New Hampshire New Hampshire Fair Share New Hampshire Public Interest Research Group

New Jersey New Jersey Main Street Alliance New Jersey Public Interest Research Group

New Mexico New Mexico Public Interest Research Group

North Carolina Fair Share in North Carolina North Carolina Public Interest Research Group

North Dakota North Dakota Fair Share **Ohio** Ohio Fair Share Ohio Public Interest Research Group Main Street Alliance of Ohio

Oregon Jubilee Oregon Main Street Alliance of Oregon Oregon Fair Share Oregon State Public Interest Research Group

Pennsylvania Pennsylvania Fair Share Pennsylvania Public Interest Research Group SEIU Local 668, Pittsburgh, PA UFCW Local 23, Western PA

South Carolina South Carolina Small Business Chamber of Commerce

Texas Texas Fair Share Texas Public Interest Research Group

Vermont Main Street Alliance of Vermont

Virginia Virginia Fair Share Main Street Alliance of Virginia

Washington (State) Main Street Alliance of Washington Washington Public Interest Research Group

Wisconsin Wisconsin Fair Share Wisconsin Public Interest Research Group

Individual Supporters

Eileen Appelbaum, Senior Economist, Center for Economic and Policy Research
Dean Baker, Co-Director, Center for Economic and Policy Research
Elise J. Bean, former Staff Director and Chief Counsel of the U.S. Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations
William K. Black, Assoc. Professor, Economics & Law, University of Missouri – Kansas City
Charles Davidson, Executive Director, Kleptocracy Initiative, Hudson Institute
John Schmitt, Senior Economist, Center for Economic and Policy Research

FACTCOALITION

1225 Eye St. NW, Suite 600 | Washington, DC | 20005 | USA +1 (202) 827-6401 | @FACTCoalition | www.thefactcoalition.org